

The solvability of norm, bilinear and quadratic equations over finite fields via spectra of graphs

Le Anh Vinh
 Mathematics Department
 Harvard University
 Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
 vinh@math.harvard.edu

April 2, 2009

Abstract

In this paper we will give a unified proof of several results on the solvability of systems of certain equations over finite fields, which were recently obtained by Fourier analytic methods. Roughly speaking, we show that almost all systems of norm, bilinear or quadratic equations over finite fields are solvable in any large subset of vector spaces over finite fields.

1 Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to give a unified proof of several results on the solvability of systems of certain equations over finite fields, which were recently obtained by Fourier analytic methods. We will see that after appropriate graph theoretic results are developed, many old and new results immediately follow. In this section, we discuss the motivation and background results for our work.

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote a finite field with q elements, where q , a power of an odd prime, is viewed as an asymptotic parameter. For $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$ ($d \geq 2$), the finite analogue of the classical Erdős distance problem is to determine the smallest possible cardinality of the set

$$\Delta(\mathcal{E}) = \{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| = (x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_d - y_d)^2 : \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{E}\} \subset \mathbb{F}_q.$$

The first non-trivial result on the Erdős distance problem in vector spaces over finite fields is due to Bourgain, Katz, and Tao ([9]), who showed that if q is a prime, $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^2$ with $|\mathcal{E}| \leq C_\varepsilon q^2$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|\Delta(\mathcal{E})| \geq C_\delta q^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}$ for some constants C_ε, C_δ . The relationship between ε and δ in their arguments, however, is difficult to determine. In addition, it is quite subtle to go up to higher dimensional cases with these arguments. Iosevich and Rudnev ([18]) used Fourier

analytic methods to show that there are absolute constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that for any odd prime power q and any set $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$ of cardinality $|\mathcal{E}| \geq c_1 q^{d/2}$, we have

$$|\Delta(\mathcal{E})| \geq c \min \left\{ q, q^{\frac{d-1}{2}} |\mathcal{E}| \right\}.$$

In [29], the author gave another proof of this result using the graph theoretic method (see also [35] for a similar proof). The (common) main step of these proofs is to estimate the number of occurrences of a fixed distance. It was shown that for a fixed distance, given that the point set is large, the number of occurrences of any fixed distance is close to the expected number. This implies that there are many distinct distances occur in a large point set. In the case of real number field, most of the known results, however, are actually proved in a stronger form. In order to show that there are at least $g(n)$ distinct distances determined by an n -point set in the plane, one usually proves that for any n -point set P , there exists a point $p \in P$ that determines at least $g(n)$ distinct distances to P . Chapman et al. ([10]) obtained an analogous result in the finite field setting. They also proved a similar result for the pinned dot product sets $\Pi_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathcal{E}) = \{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{E}\}$. In this paper, we will derive these results using spectral graph methods.

A classical result due to Furstenberg, Katznelson and Weiss ([12]) states that if $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of positive upper Lebesgue density, then for any $\delta > 0$, the δ -neighborhood of $\mathcal{E}$ contains a congruent copy of a sufficiently large dilate of every three-point configuration. An example of Bourgain ([7]) showed that it is not possible to replace the thickened set $\mathcal{E}_\delta$ by $\mathcal{E}$ for arbitrary three-point configurations. In the case of k -simplex, that is the $k+1$ points spanning a k -dimensional subspace, Bourgain ([7]), using Fourier analytic techniques, showed that a set $\mathcal{E}$ of positive upper Lebesgue density always contains a sufficiently large dilate of every non-degenerate k -point configuration where $k < d$. In the case $k = d$, the problem still remains open. Using Fourier analytic method, Akos Magyar ([22, 23]) considered this problem over the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$. He showed that a set of positive density will contain a congruent copy of every large dilate of a non-degenerate k -simplex where $d > 2k + 4$.

Hart and Iosevich ([17]) made the first investigation in an analog of this question in finite field geometries. Let P_k denote a k -simplex. Given another k -simplex P'_k , we say $P_k \sim P'_k$ if there exist $\tau \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$, and $O \in SO_d(\mathbb{F}_q)$, the set of d -by- d orthogonal matrices over $\mathbb{F}_q$, such that $P'_k = O(P_k) + \tau$. Under this equivalent relation, Hart and Iosevich ([17]) observed that one may specify a simplex by the distances determined by its vertices. They showed that if $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$ ($d \geq \binom{k+1}{2}$) of cardinality $|\mathcal{E}| \gtrsim C q^{\frac{kd}{k+1} + \frac{k}{2}}$ then $\mathcal{E}$ contains a congruent copy of every k -simplices (with the exception of simplices with zero distances). Using graph theoretic method, the author ([34]) showed that the same result holds for $d \geq 2k$ and $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{\frac{d-1}{2} + k}$. Here, and throughout, $X \lesssim Y$ means that there exists $C > 0$ such that $X \leq CY$, and $X \ll Y$ means that $X = o(Y)$. Note that serious difficulties arise when the size of simplex is sufficiently large with respect to the ambient dimension. Even in the case of triangles, the result in [34] is only non-trivial for $d \geq 4$. Covert, Hart, Iosevich, and Uriarte-Tuero ([11]) addressed the case of triangles in plane over finite fields. They showed that if $\mathcal{E}$ has density $\geq \rho$ for some $Cq^{-1/2} \leq \rho \leq 1$ with a sufficiently large

constant $C > 0$, then the set of triangles determined by $\mathcal{E}$, upto congruence, has density $\geq c\rho$. In [32], the author studied the remaining case: triangles in three-dimensional vector spaces over finite fields. Using a combination of graph theory method and Fourier analytic techniques, the author showed that if $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$ ($d \geq 3$) of cardinality $|\mathcal{E}| \gtrsim Cq^{\frac{d+2}{2}}$, the set of triangles, up to congruence, has density greater than c . Using Fourier analytic techniques, Chapman et al ([10]) extended this result to higher dimensional cases. More precisely, they showed that if $|\mathcal{E}| \gtrsim q^{\frac{d+k}{2}}$ ($d \geq k$) then the set of k -simplices, up to congruence, has density greater than c . They also obtained a stronger result when $\mathcal{E}$ is a subset of the d -dimensional unit sphere $S^d = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : \|\mathbf{x}\| = 1\}$. In particular, it was proven ([10, Theorem 2.15]) that if $\mathcal{E} \subset S^d$ of cardinality $|\mathcal{E}| \gtrsim q^{\frac{d+k-1}{2}}$ then $\mathcal{E}$ contains a congruent copy of a positive proportion of all k -simplices. In this paper, we will obtain similar results in a more general setting. Let Q be a non-degenerate quadratic form on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$. The Q -distance between two points $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$ is defined by $Q(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$. We consider the system $\mathcal{L}$ of $\binom{k}{2}$ equations

$$Q(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j) = \lambda_{ij}, \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathcal{E}, i = 1, \dots, k \quad (1.1)$$

over $\mathbb{F}_q^d$, with variables from arbitrary set $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$. We show that if $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{\frac{d-1}{2}+k-1}$ then the system (1.1) is solvable for all $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, and if $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{(d+k)/2}$ then that system 1.1 is solvable for at least $(1 - o(1))q^{\binom{k}{2}}$ possible choices of $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

A related question that has recently received attention is the following. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{F}_q$, how large does $\mathcal{A}$ need to be to ensure that $\mathbb{F}_q^* \subset \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A} + \dots + \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}$ (d times). Bourgain ([8]) showed that if $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{F}_q$ of cardinality $|\mathcal{A}| \geq Cq^{3/4}$ then $\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Glibichuk and Konyagin ([15]) proved in the case of prime fields $\mathbb{Z}_p$ that for $d = 8$, one can take $|\mathcal{A}| > \sqrt{q}$. Glibichuk ([14]) then extended this result to arbitrary finite fields. Note that this question can be stated in a more general setting. Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$, how large does $\mathcal{E}$ need to ensure that the equation

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \lambda, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{E}$$

is solvable for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Hart and Iosevich ([17]), using exponential sums, showed that one can take $|\mathcal{E}| > q^{(d+1)/2}$ for any $d \geq 2$. In this paper, we will give another proof of this result using spectral graph methods.

In analogy with the study of simplices in vector spaces over finite fields, the author ([31]) studied the solvability of systems of bilinear equations over finite fields. More precisely, for any non-degenerate bilinear form $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q^d$, we consider the following system of $l \leq \binom{k}{2}$ equations

$$B(\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_j) = \lambda_{ij}, \mathbf{a}_i \in \mathcal{E}, i = 1, \dots, k \quad (1.2)$$

over $\mathbb{F}_q^d$, with variables from an arbitrary set $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$. Using character sum machinery and methods from graph theory, the author ([31]) showed that if each variable in the system (1.2) appears in at most $t \leq k - 1$ equations and $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{\frac{d-1}{2}+t}$, then for any $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, the system (1.2) has $(1 + o(1))q^{-l}|\mathcal{E}|^k$ solutions. Again, serious difficulties arise when the number of equations that each variable involves is sufficiently large with respect to the ambient dimension. In particular, that result is only non-trivial in the range of $d \geq 2t$. In

the case of three variables and three equations, the author also proved ([31, Theorem 1.4]) that the system (1.2) is solvable for $(1 - o(1))q^3$ triples $(\lambda_{12}, \lambda_{23}, \lambda_{31}) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^3$ if $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{\frac{d+2}{2}}$. In this paper, we will extend this result to systems with many variables. More precisely, we will show that if $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$ of cardinality $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{\frac{d+k}{2}}$ then the system (1.2) of all $\binom{k}{2}$ equations is solvable for $(1 - o(1))q^{\binom{k}{2}}$ possible choices of $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $1 \leq i < j \leq k$.

We remark here that one can also obtain this result using Fourier analytic methods (for example, using [10, Theorem 2.14] instead of [10, Theorem 2.12] in the proof of [10, Theorem 2.13]). However, techniques involved in difference problems are considerable in Fourier analytic proofs. The main advantage of our approach is that we can obtain all the aforementioned results at once, after computing the eigenvalues of appropriate graphs. We will also demonstrate our method by some related results on norm equations and sum-product equations over finite fields.

2 Statement of results

2.1 Subgraphs in (n, d, λ) -graphs

For a graph G , let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k$ be the eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix. The quantity $\lambda(G) = \max\{\lambda_2, -\lambda_n\}$ is called the second eigenvalue of G . A graph $G = (V, E)$ is called an (n, d, λ) -graph if it is d -regular, has n vertices, and the second eigenvalue of G is at most λ . It is well known (see [4, Chapter 9] for more details) that if λ is much smaller than the degree d , then G has certain random-like properties. Noga Alon ([20, Theorem 4.10]) proved that every large subset of the set of vertices of (n, d, λ) -graphs contains the “correct” number of copies of any fixed sparse graph.

Theorem 2.1 ([20, Theorem 4.10]) *Let H be a fixed graph with r edges, s vertices, and maximum degree Δ , and let $G = (V, E)$ be an (n, d, λ) -graph where $d \leq 0.9n$. Let $m < n$ satisfy $m \gg \lambda(n/d)^\Delta$. Then, for every subset $V' \subset V$ of cardinality m , the number of (not necessarily induced) copies of H in V' is*

$$(1 + o(1)) \frac{m^s}{|\text{Aut}(H)|} \left(\frac{d}{n} \right)^r.$$

If we are only interested in the existence of one copy of H then one can sometimes improve the conditions on d and λ in Theorem 2.1. The first result of this paper is an improvement of the conditions on d and λ in Theorem 2.1 for complete bipartite graphs. Let $G \times G$ be the bipartite graph with two identical vertex parts $V(G)$ and $V(G)$. Two vertices u and v in two different parts are connected by an edge if and only if they are connected by an edge in G . For any two subsets $U_1, U_2 \subset V(G)$, let $G[U_1, U_2]$ be the induced bipartite subgraph of $G \times G$ on $U_1 \times U_2$.

Theorem 2.2 *For any $t \geq s$ and $t \geq 2$, let $G = (V, E)$ be an (n, d, λ) -graph. For every subsets $U_1, U_2 \subset V$ with*

$$|U_1||U_2| \geq \lambda^2(n/d)^{t+s},$$

the induced subgraph $G[U_1, U_2]$ contains

$$(1 + o(1)) \frac{|U_1|^s |U_2|^t}{s!t!} \left(\frac{d}{n} \right)^{st}$$

copies of $K_{s,t}$.

Note that the bound in Theorem 2.2 is stronger than that in Theorem 2.1 when $t > s$. For small bipartite subgraphs, $K_{2,t}$, we can further improve the bound in Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.3 *For any $t \geq 1$, let $G = (V, E)$ be an (n, d, λ) -graph. For every subsets $U_1, U_2 \subset V$ with*

$$|U_1||U_2| \geq \lambda^2(n/d)^{t+1}$$

the induced subgraph $G[U_1, U_2]$ contains

$$(1 + o(1)) \frac{|U_1|^s |U_2|^t}{2!t!} \left(\frac{d}{n} \right)^{st}$$

copies of $K_{2,t}$.

In fact, our results could be stated in multi-color versions, which will be more convenient in later applications. Suppose that a graph G is edge-colored by a set of finite colors. We call G an (n, d, λ) -colored graph if the subgraph of G on each color is an $(n, d(1 + o(1)), \lambda)$ -graph. The following results are multi-color analogues of Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 for (n, d, λ) -colored graphs.

Theorem 2.4 *Let H be a fixed edge-colored graph with r edges, s vertices, and maximum degree Δ , and let $G = (V, E)$ be an (n, d, λ) -colored graph, where $d \leq 0.9n$. Let $m < n$ satisfy $m \gg \lambda(n/d)^\Delta$. For every subset $V' \subset V$ of cardinality m , the number of (not necessarily induced) copies of H in V' is*

$$(1 + o(1)) \frac{m^s}{|\text{Aut}(H)|} \left(\frac{d}{n} \right)^r.$$

Theorem 2.5 *For any $t \geq 2$, let H be a fixed edge-colored complete bipartite graph $K_{s,t}$ with $s \leq t$. Let $G = (V, E)$ be an (n, d, λ) -colored graph. For every subset $U_1, U_2 \subset V$ with*

$$|U_1||U_2| \geq \lambda^2(n/d)^{t+s},$$

the induced subgraph $G[U_1, U_2]$ contains

$$(1 + o(1)) \frac{|U_1|^s |U_2|^t}{\text{Aut}(H)} \left(\frac{d}{n} \right)^{st}$$

copies of H .

Theorem 2.6 *For any $t \geq 1$, let H be a fixed edge-colored complete bipartite graph $K_{2,t}$. Let $G = (V, E)$ be an (n, d, λ) -colored graph. For every subset $U_1, U_2 \subset V$ with*

$$|U_1||U_2| \geq \lambda^2(n/d)^{t+1},$$

the induced subgraph $G[U_1, U_2]$ contains

$$(1 + o(1)) \frac{|U_1|^2 |U_2|^t}{\text{Aut}(H)} \left(\frac{d}{n} \right)^{2t}$$

copies of H .

The proof of Theorem 2.4 is similar to that of [20, Theorem 4.10], the proofs of Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.6 are similar to the proofs of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, respectively. To simplify the notation, we will only present the proofs of single-color results. Note that going from single-color formulations (Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3) to multi-color formulations (Theorems 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6) is just a matter of inserting different letters in a couple of places.

Although we cannot improve the conditions on d and λ in Theorem 2.4 (or equivalently Theorem 2.1), we will show that if the number of colors is large, under a weaker condition, any large induced subgraph of an (n, d, λ) -color graphs contains almost all possible colorings of small complete subgraphs.

Theorem 2.7 *For any $t \geq 2$. Let $G = (V, E)$ be an (n, d, λ) -colored graph, and let $m < n$ satisfy $m \gg \lambda(n/d)^{t/2}$. Suppose that the color set $\mathcal{C}$ has cardinality $|\mathcal{C}| = (1 - o(1))n/d$, then for every subset $U \subset V$ with cardinality m , the induced subgraph of G on U contains at least $(1 - o(1))|\mathcal{C}|^{\binom{t}{2}}$ possible colorings of K_t .*

The results above could also be considered as a contribution to the fast-developing comprehensive study of graph theoretical properties of (n, d, λ) -graphs, which has recently attracted lots of attention both in combinatorics and theoretical computer science. For a recent survey about these fascinating graphs and their properties, we refer the interested reader to the paper of Krivelevich and Sudakov ([20]).

2.2 Norms in sum sets, pinned norms, and norm equations

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with $q = p^d$ elements. Denoting by $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$ an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$, and by $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} \subset \bar{\mathbb{F}}$ the unique extension of the degree n of $\mathbb{F}$ for $n \geq 1$. The extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q$ is a Galois extension, with Galois group G_n canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}_n$, the isomorphism being the map $\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow G_n$ defined by $1 \mapsto \sigma$, where σ is the Frobenius automorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ given by $\sigma(X) = X^q$. Associated to the extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q$, the norm map $N = N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q} : \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^*$ is defined by

$$N(X) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma^i(X) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} X^{q^i} = X^{\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}}.$$

The equation $N(X) = \lambda$, for a fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$, is important in number theory. Because the extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q$ is separable, the equation $N(X) = \lambda$ is always solvable with $X \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. We are interested in the solvability of this equation when X is in a sum set of two large subsets of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. More precisely, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.8 *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, $n \geq 2$. Suppose that $|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}| \geq q^{n+2}$. Then the equation $N(X + Y) = \lambda$ is solvable in $X \in \mathcal{A}$, $Y \in \mathcal{B}$.*

For any $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, define by $N(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})$ the norm set of the sum set, i.e.

$$N(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}) = \{N(X + Y) : X \in \mathcal{A}, Y \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

Theorem 2.8 says that if $|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}| \geq q^{n+2}$ then $\mathbb{F}_q^* \subset N(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})$. We will show that under a slightly stronger condition, one can always find many elements $X \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the pinned norm set $N_X(\mathcal{B})$, which is defined by

$$N_X(\mathcal{B}) = \{N(X + Y) : Y \in \mathcal{B}\},$$

contains almost all elements in $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Theorem 2.9 *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_n$, $n \geq 2$. Suppose that $|\mathcal{A}| \geq |\mathcal{B}|$ and $|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}| \gg q^{n+2}$. Then there exists a subset $\mathcal{A}'$ of $\mathcal{A}$ with cardinality $|\mathcal{A}'| \gtrsim |\mathcal{A}|$ such that for every $X \in \mathcal{A}'$, the equation $N(X + Y) = \lambda$ is solvable in $Y \in \mathcal{B}$ for at least $(1 - o(1))q$ values of $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*$.*

We also obtain the following results on the solvability of systems of norm equations over finite fields.

Theorem 2.10 *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, $n \geq 2$. Consider the systems $\mathcal{L}$ of $l \leq \binom{t}{2}$ norm equations*

$$N(X_i + X_j) = \lambda_{ij}, \quad X_i \in \mathcal{A}, i = 1, \dots, t. \quad (2.1)$$

Suppose that each variable appears in at most $k \leq t - 1$ equations, and $|\mathcal{A}| \gg q^{n/2+t-1}$. Then for any $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q^$, the above system has*

$$(1 + o(1))q^{-l}|\mathcal{A}|^t$$

solutions.

Theorem 2.11 *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, $n \geq 2$. Consider the system (2.1) with $\binom{t}{2}$ equations. Suppose that $|\mathcal{A}| \gg q^{(n+t)/2}$, then that system is solvable for at least $(1 - o(1))q^{\binom{t}{2}}$ choices of $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $1 \leq i < j \leq t$.*

2.3 Dot product set and system of bilinear equations

Let $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d = \mathbb{F}_q \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}_q$, $d \geq 2$. For any non-degenerate bilinear form $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$, define the product set of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to B by

$$B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) = \{B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{E}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Hart and Iosevich ([17]), using character sum machinery, proved the following result.

Theorem 2.12 ([17, Theorem 2.1]) *Let $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$. Suppose that $|\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}| \geq q^{d+1}$, then $\mathbb{F}_q^* \subseteq B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$.*

Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$, $d \geq 2$. Define the pinned product set by

$$B_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathcal{E}) = \{B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{E}\}.$$

Chapman et al. ([10]) obtained the following result using Fourier analytic methods.

Theorem 2.13 ([10, Theorem 2.4]) *Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}^d$ ($d \geq 2$) of cardinality $|\mathcal{E}| \geq q^{(d+1)/2}$. Then there exists a subset $\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{E}$ with cardinality $|\mathcal{E}'| \gtrsim |\mathcal{E}|$ such that for every $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{E}'$, one has $|B_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathcal{E})| > q/2$.*

Note that [17, Theorem 2.1] and [10, Theorem 2.4] are stated only for the dot product, but their proofs go through for any non-degenerate bilinear form without any essential change. As a corollary of our results in Section 2.1, we will give graph theoretic proofs of Theorems 2.12 and 2.13. In fact, we will prove the following result instead of Theorem 2.13.

Theorem 2.14 *Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$ ($d \geq 2$) of cardinality $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{(d+1)/2}$. Then there exist a subset $\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{E}$ with cardinality $|\mathcal{E}'| = (1 - o(1))|\mathcal{E}|$ such that for every $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{E}'$, one has $|B_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathcal{E})| = (1 - o(1))q$.*

Note that the proof of [10, Theorem 2.14] also implies Theorem 2.14 and vice versa. We, however, relax the condition on $|\mathcal{E}| \geq q^{(d+1)/2}$ to $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{(d+1)/2}$ to simplify our arguments.

In [31], the author studied the solvability of systems of bilinear equations over finite fields. Following the proof of [20, Theorem 4.10], the author proved the following result.

Theorem 2.15 ([31]) *Let $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$, $d \geq 2$. For any non-degenerate bilinear form $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$. Consider the systems $\mathcal{L}$ of $l \leq \binom{t}{2}$ bilinear equations*

$$B(\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_j) = \lambda_{ij}, \mathbf{a}_i \in \mathcal{A}, i = 1, \dots, t. \quad (2.2)$$

Suppose that $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{\frac{d-1}{2}+t-1}$ and each variable appears in at most $k \leq t-1$ equations, then the system (2.2) is solvable for any $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q^$, $1 \leq i < j \leq t$.*

As a simple consequence of Theorem 2.7 and the construction of product graph in Section 8, we show that under a weaker condition, say $|\mathcal{A}| \gg q^{(n+t-1)/2}$, the system (2.2) is solvable for almost all possible choices of parameters $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}^*$.

Theorem 2.16 *Let $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ ($d \geq 2$) of cardinality $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{(n+t-1)/2}$. For any non-degenerate bilinear form $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$, consider the systems $\mathcal{L}$ of $\binom{t}{2}$ bilinear equations*

$$B(\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_j) = \lambda_{ij}, \mathbf{a}_i \in \mathcal{A}, i = 1, \dots, t. \quad (2.3)$$

Then the above system is solvable for $(1 - o(1))q^{\binom{t}{2}}$ possible choices of $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$, $1 \leq i < j \leq t$.

2.4 Sum-product equations

In [27], Sárközy proved that if $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ are “large” subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_p$, more precisely, $|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}||\mathcal{C}||\mathcal{D}| \gg p^3$, then the sum-product equation

$$a + b = cd \quad (2.4)$$

can be solved with $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}, c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $d \in \mathcal{D}$. Gyarmati and Sárközy [16] generalized this result on the solvability of equation (2.4) to finite fields. They also studied the solvability of other (higher degree) algebraic equations with solutions restricted to “large” subsets of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Using bounds of multiplicative character sums, Shparlinski [26] extended the class of sets which satisfy this property. Furthermore, Garaev [13] considered the equation (2.4) over special sets $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ to obtain some results on the sum-product problem in finite fields. More precisely, he proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.17 ([13]) *For any $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$, we have*

$$|\mathcal{A}|^3 \leq \frac{|\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}||\mathcal{A}|^2|\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}|}{q} + \sqrt{q|\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}||\mathcal{A}|^2|\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}|},$$

which implies that

$$|\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}||\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}| \gg \min \left\{ q|\mathcal{A}|, \frac{|\mathcal{A}|^4}{q} \right\}.$$

When one of sum or product sets is small, we have an immediate corollary.

Corollary 2.18 *Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\min(|\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}|) \leq C|\mathcal{A}|$ for an absolute constant $C > 0$.*

1. *If $|\mathcal{A}| \gg q^{2/3}$ then $\max(|\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}|) \gg q$.*
2. *If $|\mathcal{A}| \ll q^{2/3}$ then $\max(|\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}|) \gg |\mathcal{A}|^3/q$.*

In [30], the author reproved Theorem 2.17 using standard tools from spectral graph theory. Solymosi gave a similar proof in [28]. We will use the same idea to study the solvability of sum-bilinear equation

$$a + c = B(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}),$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$, and $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ is any non-degenerate bilinear form. More precisely, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.19 *Let $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q^d$ and $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ be any non-degenerate bilinear form on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$. Suppose that $|\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}| \geq 2q^{d+2}$ then the equation*

$$a + c + \lambda = B(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}), \quad (a, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathcal{E}, \quad (c, \mathbf{d}) \in \mathcal{F}$$

is solvable for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

As an easy corollary of Theorem 2.19, we have the following sum-product estimate, which can be viewed as an extension of Theorem 2.17.

Theorem 2.20 *For any $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$, let $\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A} = \{aa' : a, a' \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and $d\mathcal{A} = \{a_1 + \dots + a_d : a_1, \dots, a_d \in \mathcal{A}\}$. We have*

$$|\mathcal{A}|^{2d-1} \leq \frac{|\mathcal{A}|^d |\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}|^{d-1} |d\mathcal{A}|}{q} + \sqrt{q^d |\mathcal{A}|^d |\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}|^{d-1} |d\mathcal{A}|},$$

which implies that

$$|\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}|^{d-1} |d\mathcal{A}| \gg \min \left(q |\mathcal{A}|^{d-1}, \frac{|\mathcal{A}|^{3d-2}}{q^{d-1}} \right).$$

In analogy with the statement of Corollary 2.18 above, we note the following consequence of Theorem 2.20.

Corollary 2.21 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an arbitrary subset of $\mathbb{F}_q$ with cardinality $|\mathcal{A}| \gg q^{1/2}$.*

1. *Suppose that $|\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}| \leq C|\mathcal{A}|$ for an absolute constant $C > 0$. If $|\mathcal{A}| \gg q^{d/(2d-1)}$ then $|d\mathcal{A}| \gg q$, and if $|\mathcal{A}| \ll q^{d/(2d-1)}$ then $|d\mathcal{A}| \gg |\mathcal{A}|^{2d-1}/q^{d-1}$.*
2. *Suppose that $|\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}| \leq C|\mathcal{A}|$ for an absolute constant $C > 0$ and*

$$q^{\frac{d+1}{2d+1}} \ll |\mathcal{A}| \ll q^{\frac{d}{2d-1}},$$

we have $|\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}| \gg |\mathcal{A}|(q/|\mathcal{A}|)^{1/d}$.

Using the machinery developed in this paper, we also can study systems of sum-product equations over finite fields. More precisely, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.22 *For any $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q^d$ and a non-degenerate bilinear form $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$, consider the system $\mathcal{L}$ of $\binom{t}{2}$ equations*

$$a_i + a_j + \lambda_{ij} = B(\mathbf{b}_i, \mathbf{b}_j), \tag{2.5}$$

with $(a_i, \mathbf{b}_i) \in \mathcal{E}$, $1 \leq i \leq t$. Suppose that $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{\frac{d}{2}+t-1}$, then the number of solutions of the system (2.5) is close to the expected number

$$(1 + o(1)) \frac{|\mathcal{E}|^t}{q^{\binom{t}{2}}}.$$

In addition, if $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{(d+t)/2}$ then the system (2.5) is solvable for $(1 - o(1))q^{\binom{t}{2}}$ possible choices of $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $1 \leq i < j \leq t$.

2.5 Pinned distances and systems of quadratic equations

Let $Q(\cdot)$ be a non-degenerate quadratic form on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$. Given any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$ and $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$, define the pinned distance set by

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{y}}^Q(\mathcal{E}) = \{Q(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{E}\}.$$

Chapman et al. [10] obtained the following result using Fourier analysis method.

Theorem 2.23 ([10]) *Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$, $d \geq 2$. Suppose that $|\mathcal{E}| \geq q^{\frac{d+1}{2}}$. There exists a subset $\mathcal{E}'$ of $\mathcal{E}$ with $|\mathcal{E}'| \gtrsim |\mathcal{E}|$ such that for every $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{E}$, one has*

$$|\Delta_{\mathbf{y}}^Q(\mathcal{E})| > q/2,$$

where $Q(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2$.

As a corollary of our graph theoretic results, we will present another proof of Theorem 2.23. In fact, we will prove a more general result.

Theorem 2.24 *Let Q be any non-degenerate quadratic form on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$. Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$, $d \geq 2$. Suppose that $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{(d+1)/2}$. There exists a subset $\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{E}$ with cardinality $|\mathcal{E}'| = (1 - o(1))|\mathcal{E}|$ such that for every $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{E}'$, one has $|\Delta_{\mathbf{y}}^Q(\mathcal{E})| = (1 - o(1))q$.*

Note that the proof of [10, Theorem 2.3] implies Theorem 2.24 and vice versa. We again relax the condition on $|\mathcal{E}| \geq q^{(d+1)/2}$ to $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{(d+1)/2}$ to simplify the argument in the proof.

Next we will prove the following result on the solvability of system of quadratic equations (or equivalently, the existence of the simplices over finite fields).

Theorem 2.25 *For any non-degenerate quadratic form Q on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$ and any set $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$, we consider the following system of $l \leq \binom{t}{2}$ equations*

$$Q(\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{a}_j) = \lambda_{ij}, \mathbf{a}_i \in \mathcal{E}, i = 1, \dots, t.$$

If $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{(d+t-1)/2}$ then this system is solvable for at least $(1 - o(1))q^{\binom{t}{2}}$ possible choices of $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

We obtain a stronger result when $\mathcal{E}$ is a subset of the d -dimensional Q -sphere $S^d(Q) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : Q(\mathbf{x}) = 1\}$.

Theorem 2.26 *For any non-degenerate quadratic form Q and any set $\mathcal{E} \subset S^d(Q)$, we consider the following system of $l \leq \binom{t}{2}$ equations*

$$Q(\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{a}_j) = \lambda_{ij}, \mathbf{a}_i \in \mathcal{E}, i = 1, \dots, t.$$

If $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{(d+t-2)/2}$ then this system is solvable for at least $(1 - o(1))q^{\binom{t}{2}}$ possible choices of $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Note that Theorems 2.25 and 2.26 could be obtained in a similar way as in the proofs of [10, Theorem 2.13] and [10, Theorem 2.16]. The proofs given here, however, are different, which are applicable to a large variety of problems. In addition, the results in Section 2.1 can also be extended for directed (n, d, λ) -graphs. All the proofs go through without any essential changes by replacing Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 by their corresponding directed versions ([35, Lemma 3.1]). Applying these results, we can study the solvability of systems of general equations

$$P(\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{a}_j) = \lambda_{ij}, \mathbf{a}_i \in \mathcal{E}, 1 \leq i \leq t$$

in $\mathbb{F}_q^d$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a large subset of $\mathbb{F}_q^d$ and $P \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_d]$. One can show that for a large family of non-degenerate polynomials P , if $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{(d+t-1)/2}$, that system is solvable for at least $cq^{\binom{t}{2}}$ possible choices of $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q$. However, in order to keep this paper concise, we will restrict our discussion only to results on undirected (n, d, λ) -graphs and their applications.

3 Properties of pseudo-random graphs

In this section, we recall some results on the distribution of edges in (n, d, λ) -graphs. For two (not necessarily) disjoint subsets of vertices $U, W \subset V$, let $e(U, W)$ be the number of ordered pairs (u, w) such that $u \in U$, $w \in W$, and (u, w) is an edge of G . For a vertex v of G , let $N(v)$ denote the set of vertices of G adjacent to v and let $d(v)$ denote its degree. Similarly, for a subset U of the vertex set, let $N_U(v) = N(v) \cap U$ and $d_U(v) = |N_U(v)|$. We first recall the following two well-known facts (see, for example, [4]).

Theorem 3.1 ([4, Theorem 9.2.4]) *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an (n, d, λ) -colored graph. For any subset U of V , we have*

$$\sum_{v \in V} (d_U(v) - d|U|/n)^2 < \lambda^2 |U|.$$

The following result is an easy corollary of Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2 ([4, Corollary 9.2.5]) *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an (n, d, λ) -graph. For any two sets $B, C \subset V$, we have*

$$\left| e(B, C) - \frac{d|B||C|}{n} \right| \leq \lambda \sqrt{|B||C|}.$$

We also need a similar result for number of paths of length two in (n, d, λ) -graphs.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an (n, d, λ) -graph. For any two subsets $B, C \subset V$, let $p_2(B, C)$ be the number of paths of length two with the midpoint in B and two endpoints in C (i.e. the number of triples (c_1, b, c_2) such that $b \in B$, $c_1, c_2 \in C$, $(b, c_1), (b, c_2) \in E(G)$). We have*

$$\left| p_2(B, C) - \left(\frac{d}{n} \right)^2 |B||C|^2 \right| \leq 2 \frac{\lambda d}{n} |B|^{1/2} |C|^{3/2} + \lambda^2 |C|.$$

Proof It follows from Theorem 3.1 that

$$\sum_{v \in B} (|d_C(v) - \frac{d}{n}|C|)^2 \leq \sum_{v \in V} (|d_C(v) - \frac{d}{n}|C|)^2 \leq \lambda^2 |C|, \quad (3.1)$$

which implies that

$$\left| \sum_{v \in B} (N_C(v))^2 + \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^2 |B||C|^2 - \frac{2d}{n}|C| \sum_{v \in B} N_C(v) \right| \leq \lambda^2 |C|. \quad (3.2)$$

From Corollary 3.2, we have

$$\left| \sum_{v \in B} N_C(v) - \frac{d}{n}|B||C| \right| \leq \lambda \sqrt{|B||C|}, \quad (3.3)$$

Putting (3.2) and (3.3) together, we have

$$\left| \sum_{v \in B} (N_C(v))^2 - \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^2 |B||C|^2 \right| \leq 2\frac{\lambda d}{n} |B|^{1/2} |C|^{3/2} + \lambda^2 |C|,$$

completing the proof of the lemma. $\square$

4 Complete bipartite subgraphs - Proof of Theorem 2.2

Let U_1, U_2 be any subsets of $V = V(G)$. For any $y_1, \dots, y_t \in U_2$, let

$$\mathcal{S}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}(U_1) = \{x \in U_1 : (x, y_i) \in E(G), 1 \leq i \leq t\},$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}(U_1) = |\mathcal{S}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}(U_1)|.$$

When $\mathbf{S}_{y_1, \dots, y_k}(U_1) \geq 1$, we say that the base $(y_1, \dots, y_k)$ is extendable to k -stars with roots in U_1 . In order to make our inductive argument work, we will need the following definition.

Definition 4.1 *Let f, g, h be any three functions on the same variables. We say that*

$$f = \tilde{o}(g, h)$$

if $f = o(g)$ when $h = o(g)$, and $f = O(h)$ otherwise.

Theorem 2.2 for the star $K_{1,t}$ follows immediately from the following estimate.

Lemma 4.2 Let $G = (V, E)$ be an (n, d, λ) -graph. For any $t \geq 1$ and two subsets $U_1, U_2 \subset V$, we have

$$\sum_{y_1, \dots, y_t \in U_2} \mathbf{s}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}(U_1) = \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^t |U_1| |U_2|^t + \tilde{o} \left(\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^t |U_1| |U_2|^t, \frac{n\lambda^2}{d} |U_2|^{t-1} \right).$$

Proof The proof proceeds by induction. The base case $t = 1$ follows immediately from Corollary 3.2 and the fact that

$$\lambda \sqrt{|U_1| |U_2|} = \tilde{o} \left(\frac{d}{n} |U_1| |U_2|, \frac{n\lambda^2}{d} \right). \quad (4.1)$$

Suppose that the claim holds for $t - 1 \geq 1$, we show that it also holds for t . Note that

$$\sum_{y_1, \dots, y_t \in U_2} \mathbf{s}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}(U_1) = \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1} \in U_2} e(U_2, \mathbf{s}_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}}(U_1)).$$

Hence, it follows from Corollary 3.2 and (4.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_t \in U_2} \mathbf{s}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}(U_1) &= \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1} \in U_2} \left(\frac{d|U_2| \mathbf{s}_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}}(U_1)}{n} + O \left(\lambda \sqrt{|U_2| \mathbf{s}_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}}(U_1)} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1} \in U_2} \left(\frac{d|U_2| \mathbf{s}_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}}(U_1)}{n} + \tilde{o} \left(\frac{d|U_2| \mathbf{s}_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}}(U_1)}{n}, \frac{n\lambda^2}{d} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

By induction hypothesis,

$$\sum_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1} \in U_2} \mathbf{s}_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}}(U_1) = \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^{t-1} |U_1| |U_2|^{t-1} + \tilde{o} \left(\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^{t-1} |U_1| |U_2|^{t-1}, \frac{n\lambda^2}{d} |U_2|^{t-2} \right). \quad (4.3)$$

The lemma follows immediately from (4.2), (4.3) and the additivity of function $\tilde{o}$. $\square$

We now give a full proof of Theorem 2.2. Let U_1, U_2 be any subsets of $V = V(G)$. For any $y_1, \dots, y_t \in U_2$, let

$$\mathcal{K}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^s(U_1) = \{x_1, \dots, x_s \in U_1 : (x_j, y_i) \in E(G), 1 \leq j \leq s, 1 \leq i \leq t\},$$

$$\mathbf{K}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^s(U) = |\mathcal{K}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^s(U)|,$$

then it is clear that

$$\mathbf{K}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^s(U) = (\mathbf{s}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}(U))^s.$$

Similarly, when $\mathbf{K}_{y_1, \dots, y_k}^s(U_1) \geq 1$, we say that the base $(y_1, \dots, y_k)$ is extendable to $K_{s,t}$ graphs with the s -parts in U_1 . Theorem 2.2 follows immediately from the following estimate.

Lemma 4.3 *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an (n, d, λ) -graph. For any $t \geq s \geq 1$ and two subsets $U_1, U_2 \subset V$, we have*

$$\sum_{y_1, \dots, y_t \in U_2} \mathbf{K}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^s(U_1) = \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^{ts} |U_1|^s |U_2|^t + \tilde{o} \left(\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^{ts} |U_1|^s |U_2|^t, \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{t^2} \lambda^{2t} |U_1|^{s-t} \right).$$

Proof The proof proceeds by induction on s . We first consider the base case $s = 1$. Lemma 4.2 for the stars implies that

$$\sum_{y_1, \dots, y_t \in U_2} \mathbf{K}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^1(U_1) = \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^t |U_1|^1 |U_2|^t + \tilde{o} \left(\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^t |U_1| |U_2|^t, \frac{n\lambda^2}{q} |U_2|^{t-1} \right).$$

By Young's inequality,

$$\frac{n\lambda^2}{q} |U_2|^{t-1} \leq \frac{t-1}{t} \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^t |U_1| |U_2|^t + \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{t^2} \lambda^{2t} |U_1|^{1-t},$$

which implies that

$$\frac{n\lambda^2}{q} |U_2|^{t-1} = \tilde{o} \left(\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^t |U_1| |U_2|^t, \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{t^2} \lambda^{2t} |U_1|^{1-t} \right).$$

The base case $s = 1$ follows. Suppose that the claim holds for $s - 1 \geq 1$, we show that it also holds for s . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_t \in U_2} \mathbf{K}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^s(U_1) &= \sum_{z_1, \dots, z_s \in U_1} \mathbf{K}_{z_1, \dots, z_s}^t(U_2) \\ &= \sum_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1} \in U_1} \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_t \in \mathcal{S}_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1}}(U_2)} \mathbf{S}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}(U_1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

because both sides equal the number of ordered (possibly degenerate) $K_{s,t}$ in $G[U_1, U_2]$. It follows from Lemma 4.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_t \in \mathcal{S}_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1}}(U_2)} \mathbf{S}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}(U_1) &= \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^t |U_1| (\mathbf{S}_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1}}(U_2))^t \\ &\quad + \tilde{o} \left(\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^t |U_1| (\mathbf{S}_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1}}(U_2))^t, \frac{n\lambda^2}{d} (\mathbf{S}_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1}}(U_2))^{t-1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1} \in U_1} \frac{n\lambda^2}{d} (\mathbf{S}_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1}}(U_2))^{t-1} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1} \in U_1} \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^t |U_1| (\mathbf{S}_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1}}(U_2))^t \right)^{(t-1)/t} \left(\sum_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1} \in U_1} q^{t^2} \lambda^{2t} |U_1|^{-(t-1)} \right)^{1/t} \\ &= \tilde{o} \left(\sum_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1} \in U_1} \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^t |U_1| (\mathbf{S}_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1}}(U_2))^t, \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{t^2} \lambda^{2t} |U_1|^{s-t} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1} \in U_1} \frac{n\lambda^2}{d} (\mathcal{S}_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1}}(U_2))^{t-1} = \tilde{o} \left(\sum_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1} \in U_1} \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^t |U_1| (\mathcal{S}_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1}}(U_2))^t, q^{t^2} \lambda^{2t} |U_1|^{s-t} \right). \quad (4.6)$$

Besides, by induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1} \in U_1} \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^t |U_1| (\mathcal{S}_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1}}(U_2))^t = \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^t |U_1| \sum_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1} \in U_1} \mathbf{K}_{z_1, \dots, z_{s-1}}^t(U_2) \\ &= \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^t |U_1| \left\{ \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^{(s-1)t} |U_1|^{t-1} |U_2|^s + \tilde{o} \left(\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^{(s-1)t} |U_1|^{t-1} |U_2|^s, \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{t^2} \lambda^{2t} |U_1|^{s-1-t} \right) \right\} \\ &= \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^{st} |U_1|^t |U_2|^s + \tilde{o} \left(\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^{st} |U_1|^t |U_2|^s, \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{t(t-1)} \lambda^{2t} |U_1|^{s-t} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Putting (4.4), (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) together, the lemma follows. $\square$

5 Proof of Theorem 2.3

Theorem 2.3 follows immediately from the following estimate on the number of $K_{2,t}$ subgraphs in (n, d, λ) -graphs.

Lemma 5.1 *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an (n, d, λ) -graph. For any $t \geq 1$ and two subsets $U_1, U_2 \subset V$, we have*

$$\sum_{y_1, \dots, y_t \in U_2} \mathbf{K}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^2(U_1) = \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^{2t} |U_1|^2 |U_2|^t + \tilde{o} \left(\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^{2t} |U_1|^2 |U_2|^t, \lambda^4 \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^2 |U_2|^{t-2} \right).$$

Proof The proof proceeds by induction. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\sum_{y_1 \in U_2} \mathbf{K}_{y_1}^2(U_1) = \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^2 |U_1|^2 |U_2| + \tilde{o} \left(\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^2 |U_1|^2 |U_2|, \lambda^2 |U_1| \right).$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\lambda^2 |U_1| = \tilde{o} \left(\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^2 |U_1|^2 |U_2|, \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^2 \lambda^4 |U_2|^{-1} \right). \quad (5.1)$$

Hence, the base case $t = 1$ follows. Suppose that the claim holds for t , we show that it also holds for $t + 1$. Note that

$$\sum_{y_1, \dots, y_t \in U_2} \mathbf{K}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^2(U_1) = \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1} \in U_2} \sum_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}}(U_1)} \mathcal{S}_{x_1, x_2}(U_2). \quad (5.2)$$

It follows from Lemma 3.3 and (5.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}}(U_1)} \mathcal{S}_{x_1, x_2}(U_2) &= \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^2 |U_2| (\mathcal{S}_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}}(U_1))^2 + \\ &+ \tilde{o} \left(\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^2 |U_2| (\mathcal{S}_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}}(U_1))^2, \lambda^4 \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^2 |U_2|^{-1} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

By induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1} \in U_2} (\mathcal{S}_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}}(U_1))^2 &= \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^{2(t-1)} |U_1|^2 |U_2|^{2(t-1)} \\ &+ \tilde{o} \left(\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^{2t} |U_1|^2 |U_2|^{2(t-1)}, \lambda^4 \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^2 |U_2|^{t-3} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Putting (5.2), (5.3) and (5.4) together, we complete the proof of Lemma 5.1. $\square$

6 Complete edge-colored subgraphs

Suppose that $G = (V, E)$ is an (n, d, λ) -colored graph. Let $E^{r_i}(G)$ be the set of r_i -colored edges of G . Let U_1, U_2 be any subsets of $V = V(G)$. For any t colors $r_1, \dots, r_t$, and for any $y_1, \dots, y_t \in U_2$, define

$$\mathcal{S}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^{r_1, \dots, r_t}(U_1) = \{x \in U_1 : (x, y_i) \in E^{r_i}(G), 1 \leq i \leq t\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^{r_1, \dots, r_t}(U_1) = |\mathcal{S}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^{r_1, \dots, r_t}(U_1)|,$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^{r_1, \dots, r_t}(U_1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{S}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^{r_1, \dots, r_t}(U_1) \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

When $\mathcal{I}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^{r_1, \dots, r_t}(U_1) = 1$, we say that the base $(y_1, \dots, y_t)$ is extendable to k -stars of type $(r_1, \dots, r_t)$ with roots in U_1 . For any $t-1$ colors $r_1, \dots, r_{t-1}$, the following lemma says that if U_1, U_2 are two large subsets of the vertex set of an (n, d, λ) -colored graph, almost all t -tuples $(y_1, \dots, y_t) \in U_2^t$ are extendable to stars of type $(r_1, \dots, r_t)$ with roots in U_1 . This lemma is not necessary for the proof of Theorem 2.7, but it could be of independent interest.

Lemma 6.1 *For any $t \geq 2$ and t colors $r_1, \dots, r_t$, let $G = (V, E)$ be an (n, d, λ) -colored graph, and let $U_1, U_2 \subset V$. Suppose that*

$$|U_1| |U_2| \gg \lambda^2 \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{t+1},$$

then

$$\sum_{y_1, \dots, y_t \in U_2} \mathcal{I}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^{r_1, \dots, r_t}(U_1) = (1 - o(1)) |U_2|^t.$$

Proof By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\left(\sum_{y_1, \dots, y_t \in U_2} \mathbf{s}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^{r_1, \dots, r_t}(U_1) \right)^2 \leq \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_t \in U_2} \mathcal{I}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^{r_1, \dots, r_t}(U_1) \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_t \in U_2} (\mathbf{s}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^{r_1, \dots, r_t}(U_1))^2.$$

It follows from Lemma 4.2 that

$$\sum_{y_1, \dots, y_t \in U_2} \mathbf{s}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^{r_1, \dots, r_t}(U_1) = (1 + o(1)) \left(\frac{n}{d} \right)^t |U_1| |U_2|^t, \quad (6.1)$$

and from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\sum_{y_1, \dots, y_t \in U_2} (\mathbf{s}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^{r_1, \dots, r_t}(U_1))^2 = \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_t \in U_2} (1 + o(1)) \left(\frac{n}{d} \right)^{2t} |U_1|^2 |U_2|^t. \quad (6.2)$$

Putting (6.1) and (6.2) together, we have

$$\sum_{y_1, \dots, y_t \in U_2} \mathcal{I}_{y_1, \dots, y_t}^{r_1, \dots, r_{t-1}}(U_1) \geq (1 - o(1)) |U_2|^t.$$

The upper bound is trivial, and the lemma follows. $\square$

6.1 Proof of Theorem 2.7

We are now ready to give a proof of Theorem 2.7. We note that with the graph theoretic results above, the argument in the proof of [10, Theorem 2.13] works for any (n, d, λ) -colored graphs, and we will follow it closely. To make our inductive argument work we will need the following definition, which is also taken from [10].

Definition 6.2 Given $U \subset V$, let $\mathcal{U} \subset U^t \equiv U \times \dots \times U$, $t \geq 2$. Define

$$\mathcal{U}_{t-1} = \{(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) : (y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}, y_t) \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Moreover, for each $(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{U}_{t-1}$, define

$$\mathcal{U}(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) = \{y_t : (y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}, y_t) \in \mathcal{U}\} \subset U.$$

For any two sets $U_1 \subset U_2$, we say that $U_1 \sim U_2$ if and only if $|U_1| = (1 - o(1))|U_2|$. We now can state a slightly stronger version of Lemma 6.1.

Lemma 6.3 For any $t \geq 2$ and $t - 1$ colors $r_1, \dots, r_{t-1}$, let $G = (V, E)$ be an (n, d, λ) -colored graph. Suppose that the color set $\mathcal{C}$ has cardinality $|\mathcal{C}| = (1 - o(1))n/d$. For any subset $U \subset V$ of cardinality $|U| \gg \lambda(n/d)^{t/2}$ and for any $\mathcal{U} \subset U^t = U \times \dots \times U$ with $\mathcal{U} \sim |U|^t$, we have

$$\sum_{(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{U}_{t-1}} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_{t-1} \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{I}_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}}^{r_1, \dots, r_{t-1}}(\mathcal{U}(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1})) = (1 - o(1)) |\mathcal{U}_{t-1}| |\mathcal{C}|^{t-1}.$$

Proof The upper bound is trivial so it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{U}_{t-1}} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_{t-1} \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{I}_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}}^{r_1, \dots, r_{t-1}}(\mathcal{U}(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1})) \geq (1 - o(1))|\mathcal{U}_{t-1}||\mathcal{C}|^{t-1}.$$

Note that we have $(1 - o(1))n/d$ colors, so $|E(G)| = (1 - o(1))n^2/2$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{U}_{t-1}} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_{t-1} \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{S}_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}}^{r_1, \dots, r_{t-1}}(\mathcal{U}(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1})) \\ & \geq \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1} \in U} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_{t-1} \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{S}_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}}^{r_1, \dots, r_{t-1}}(U) - (|U|^t - \mathcal{U}) \\ & = (1 - o(1))|U|^t. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 5.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{U}_{t-1}} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_{t-1} \in \mathcal{C}} (\mathcal{S}_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}}^{r_1, \dots, r_{t-1}}(\mathcal{U}(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1})))^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) \in U^{t-1}} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_{t-1} \in \mathcal{C}} (\mathcal{S}_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}}^{r_1, \dots, r_{t-1}}(U))^2 = (1 + o(1))|U|^{t+1} \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^{2(t-1)} |\mathcal{C}|^{t-1} \\ & = (1 + o(1))|U|^{t-1}/|\mathcal{C}|^{t-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

since $|\mathcal{C}| = (1 - o(1))n/d$. The lemma now follows from (6.3), (6.4), and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. $\square$

By the pigeon-hole principle, we have an immediate corollary of Lemma 6.3.

Corollary 6.4 *For any $t \geq 2$ and $t-1$ colors $r_1, \dots, r_{t-1}$, let $G = (V, E)$ be an (n, d, λ) -colored graph. Suppose that the color set $\mathcal{C}$ has cardinality $|\mathcal{C}| = (1 - o(1))n/d$. For any subset $U \subset V$ of cardinality $|U| \gg \lambda(n/d)^{t/2}$ and for any $\mathcal{U} \subset U^t = U \times \dots \times U$ with $\mathcal{U} \sim |U|^t$, there exists a subset $\mathcal{U}^{(t-1)} \subset \mathcal{U}_{t-1}$ with $\mathcal{U}^{(t-1)} \sim \mathcal{U}_{t-1}$ such that*

$$\sum_{r_1, \dots, r_{t-1} \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{I}_{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}}^{r_1, \dots, r_{t-1}}(\mathcal{U}(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1})) = (1 - o(1))|\mathcal{C}|^{t-1}$$

for every $(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{U}^{(t-1)}$.

This corollary says that for any large set $\mathcal{U} \sim U^t$, there exists a large subset $\mathcal{U}^{(t-1)} \subset \mathcal{U}_{t-1} \subset U^{t-1}$ such that $\mathcal{U}^{(t-1)} \sim |U|^{t-1}$, and any $(t-1)$ -tuple $(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{U}^{(t-1)}$ is extendable to at least $(1 - o(1))|\mathcal{C}|^{t-1}$ types of $(t-1)$ -stars with roots in $\mathcal{U}(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1})$. The rest of the proof is easy. For any set $U \subset V$ with cardinality $|U| \gg \lambda(n/d)^{t/2}$, we construct t sets $\mathcal{U}^{(t)}, \dots, \mathcal{U}^{(1)}$ inductively as follows. Let $\mathcal{U}^{(t)} = U^t = U \times \dots \times U$. Since $|U| \gg \lambda(n/d)^{t/2}$, from Corollary 6.4, we can choose $\mathcal{U}^{(t-1)} \subset \mathcal{U}_{t-1}^{(t)}$ such that any $(t-1)$ -tuple $(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1})$ in $\mathcal{U}^{(t-1)}$ is extendable to at least $(1 - o(1))|\mathcal{C}|^{t-1}$ types of $(t-1)$ -stars with roots in $\mathcal{U}^{(t)}(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1})$. Suppose that we have constructed $\mathcal{U}^{(t)}, \dots, \mathcal{U}^{(i)}$ ($i \geq 2$)

such that $\mathcal{U}^{(j)} \sim U^j$ for $i \leq j \leq t-1$, and any j -tuple $(y_1, \dots, y_j)$ in $\mathcal{U}^{(j)}$ is extendable to at least $(1 - o(1))|\mathcal{C}|^j$ types of j -stars with roots in $\mathcal{U}^{(j+1)}(y_1, \dots, y_j)$. Since

$$|U| \gg \lambda(n/d)^{t/2} \geq \lambda(n/d)^{i/2},$$

from Corollary 6.4 again, we can choose $\mathcal{U}^{(i-1)} \subset \mathcal{U}_{i-1}^{(i)}$ such that $\mathcal{U}^{(i-1)} \sim U^{i-1}$, and any $(t-1)$ -tuple $(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1})$ in $\mathcal{U}^{(i-1)}$ is extendable to at least $(1 - o(1))|\mathcal{C}|^{i-1}$ types of $(i-1)$ -stars with roots in $\mathcal{U}^{(i)}(y_1, \dots, y_{i-1})$. Repeat the process until we have constructed $\mathcal{U}^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{U}_1^{(2)} \subset U$ of cardinality $|\mathcal{U}^{(1)}| \sim |U|$. Take any vertex v in $\mathcal{U}^{(1)}$, it is clear that we can extend from v to at least $(1 - o(1))|\mathcal{C}|^{\binom{t}{2}}$ types of edge-colored K_t in U^t . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.7.

7 Projective norm graphs

We first recall the construction of the projective norm graphs $\mathcal{NG}_{q,n}(\lambda)$ in [2]. It is possible to get a slightly better version of these ([3]), but this makes no essential difference for our purpose here. The construction is the following. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and q be an odd prime power. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field of q elements, and $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ be the unique extension of degree n of $\mathbb{F}_q$. The vertex set of the graph $\mathcal{NG}_{q,n}(\lambda)$ is the set $V = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. Two distinct vertices X and $Y \in V$ are adjacent if and only if $N(X+Y) = \lambda$, where the norm N is defined as in Section 2.2 with an extension $N(0) = 0$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, the equation $N(X) = \lambda$ has a solution, and if X_0 is a given solution, the set of solutions is in one-to-one correspondence with solutions of $N(X) = 1$, which by Hilbert's Theorem 90 (or by direct proof) is given by $X = \sigma(Y)Y^{-1} = Y^{q-1}$ for some $Y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$ (see [19] for more details). Hence, all projective norm graphs $\mathcal{NG}_{q,n}(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$) are isomorphic. It follows immediately from the definition that $\mathcal{NG}_{q,n}(1)$ is a regular graph of order q^n and valency $(q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$. The eigenvalues of this graph is not hard to compute. We present here only a sketch of the proof, which follows the presentation of [2]. Let A be the adjacency matrix of $\mathcal{NG}_{q,n}(\lambda)$. The rows and columns of this matrix are indexed by the ordered pairs of the set $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. Let χ be a character of the additive group of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. One can check (see [2]) that χ is an eigenvector of A^2 with eigenvalue $|\sum_{N(c)=1} \chi(c)|^2$ and all eigenvalues of A^2 are of this form. The trivial character is correspondent to the large eigenvalue $(q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$ of A . The others can be estimated using Weil's bound on the character sum (see [25, Theorem 2E(i)]), and the fact that all solutions of $N(X) = 1$ are all given by $X = \sigma(Y)Y^{-1} = Y^{q-1}$ for some $Y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$,

$$\left| \sum_{N(c)=1} \chi(c) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*} \chi(d^{q-1}) \right| < \frac{(q-2)q^{n/2}}{q-1} < q^{n/2}.$$

Therefore, we have the following result.

Lemma 7.1 *For any $n \geq 2$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, the projective norm graph $\mathcal{NG}_{q,n}(\lambda)$ is a $(q^n, \frac{q^n-1}{q-1}, q^{n/2})$ -graph.*

7.1 Proofs of Theorems 2.8, 2.9, 2.10 and 2.11

We are now ready to prove results on norm equations in Section 2.2. For any two set $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, let $e_\lambda(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \#\{(X, Y) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} : N(X + Y) = \lambda\}$. It follows from Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 7.1 that

$$\left| e_\lambda(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) - \frac{(q^n - 1)|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}|}{(q - 1)q^n} \right| < q^{n/2} \sqrt{|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}|}.$$

Hence, $e_\lambda(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) > 0$ if $|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}| \geq q^{n+2}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.8.

Next, we consider the graph G on the vertex set $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. Two distinct vertices X, Y are connected by an λ -colored edge if and only if $N(X + Y) = \lambda$. Note that we only use $(q - 1)$ colors $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. From Lemma 7.1, the graph G is a $(q^n, q^{n-1}, q^{n/2})$ -colored graph with $(q - 1)$ colors. Theorem 2.10 and Theorem 2.11 now follow immediately from Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.7. Finally, it follows from Lemma 6.1 that

$$\sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \mathcal{I}_X^\lambda(\mathcal{B}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{I}_X^\lambda(\mathcal{B}) = (1 - o(1))|\mathcal{A}|q,$$

which implies that there exists $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}' \sim \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \mathcal{I}_X^\lambda(\mathcal{B}) = (1 - o(1))q,$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{A}'$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.9.

8 Product graphs - Proofs of Theorems 2.12, 2.14, and 2.16

For any non-degenerate bilinear form $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$, and for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, the product graph $B_{q,d}(\lambda)$ is defined as follows. The vertex set of the product graph $B_{q,d}(\lambda)$ is the set $V(B_{q,d}(\lambda)) = \mathbb{F}^d \setminus (0, \dots, 0)$. Two vertices $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in V(B_{q,d}(\lambda))$ are connected by an edge, $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in E(B_{q,d}(\lambda))$, if and only if $B(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \lambda$. When $\lambda = 0$, the graph is just a blow-up of a variant of Erdős-Rényi graph. The eigenvalues of this graph are easy to compute (for example, see [1]). We will now study the product graph when $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*$.

Lemma 8.1 *For any $d \geq 2$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*$, the product graph, $B_{q,d}(\lambda)$, is a $(q^d - 1, q^{d-1}, \sqrt{2q^{d-1}})$ -graph.*

Proof It is easy to see that $B_{q,d}(\lambda)$ is a regular graph of order $q^d - 1$ and valency q^{d-1} . We now compute the eigenvalues of this multigraph (i.e. graph with loops). For any $\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}^d \setminus (0, \dots, 0)$, the system

$$B(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}) = \lambda, B(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y}) = \lambda, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^d \setminus (0, \dots, 0),$$

has q^{d-2} solutions when $\mathbf{a} \neq \alpha \mathbf{b}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and no solution otherwise. Hence, for any two vertices $\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{b}$, $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ have q^{d-2} common neighbors if $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are linear independent, and no common neighbor otherwise. Let A be the adjacency matrix of $\mathcal{P}_{q,d}(\lambda)$. It follows that

$$A^2 = q^{d-2}J + (q^{d-1} - q^{d-2})J - E, \quad (8.1)$$

where J is the all-one matrix, I is the identity matrix, and E is the adjacency matrix of the graph $\mathcal{B}_E$, where for any two vertices $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in V(B_{q,d}(\lambda))$, $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ is an edge of $\mathcal{B}_E$ if and only if $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are linearly dependent. Therefore, $\mathcal{B}_E$ is a $(q-1)$ -regular graph, and all eigenvalues of E are at most $q-1$. Since $B_{q,d}(\lambda)$ is a (q^d-1) -regular graph, q^{d-1} is an eigenvalue of A with the all-one eigenvalue $\mathbf{1}$. The graph $B_{q,d}(\lambda)$ is connected so the eigenvalue q^{d-1} has multiplicity one. For any $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ with $B(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \lambda$, $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are linearly independent and $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ have q^{d-2} common neighbors. Hence, the graph is not bipartite. Therefore, for any other eigenvalue θ , $|\theta| < q^{d-1}$. Let $\mathbf{v}_\theta$ denote the corresponding eigenvector of θ . Note that $\mathbf{v}_\theta \in \mathbf{1}^\perp$, so $J\mathbf{v}_\theta = 0$. It follows from (8.1) that

$$(\theta^2 - q^{d-1} + q^{d-2})\mathbf{v}_\theta = E\mathbf{v}_\theta.$$

Hence, $\mathbf{v}_\theta$ is also an eigenvector of E . Since all eigenvalues of E is bounded by $q-1$, we have

$$\theta^2 \leq q^{d-1} - q^{d-2} + q - 1 < q^d + q - 2 < 2q^{d-1}.$$

(Note that, one can get $\theta^2 < q^{d-1}$ when $d \geq 2$.) The lemma follows. $\square$

Similarly as in the previous section, Theorems 2.12, 2.14, and 2.16 follow immediately from Corollary 3.2, Lemma 6.1, Theorem 2.7, and Lemma 8.1.

9 Sum-product graphs

For any non-degenerate bilinear form $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$ and for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the sum-product graph $SB_{q,d}(\lambda)$ is defined as follows. The vertex set of the sum-product graph $SB_{q,d}(\lambda)$ is the set $V(SB_{q,d}) = \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}^d$. Two vertices $U = (a, \mathbf{b})$ and $V = (c, \mathbf{d}) \in V(SB_{q,d})$ are connected by an edge, $(U, V) \in E(SB_{q,d})$, if and only if $a + c + \lambda = B(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d})$. Our construction is similar to that of Solymosi in [28].

Lemma 9.1 *For any $d \geq 1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, the sum-product graph, $SB_{q,d}(\lambda)$, is a $(q^{d+1}, q^d, \sqrt{2q^d})$ -graph.*

Proof It is easy to see that $SB_{q,d}(\lambda)$ is a regular graph of order q^{d+1} and valency q^d . We now compute the eigenvalues of this multigraph. For any $a, c \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{F}^d$, the system

$$a + u + \lambda = B(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{v}), c + u + \lambda = B(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{v}), \quad u \in \mathbb{F}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}^d$$

has q^{d-1} solutions. (We can argue as follows. There are q^{d-1} possibilities of $\mathbf{v}$ such that $B(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{v}) = a - c$. For each choice of $\mathbf{v}$, there exists a unique u satisfying the system.) If $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{d}$ and $a \neq c$ then the system has no solution. Hence, for any two vertices

$U = (a, \mathbf{b})$ and $V = (c, \mathbf{d}) \in V(SB_{q,d}(\lambda))$, if $\mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{d}$ then U and V have exactly q^{d-1} common neighbors, and if $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{d}$ and $a \neq c$ then U and V have no common neighbor. Let A be the adjacency matrix of $SB_{q,d}(\lambda)$. It follows that

$$A^2 = AA^T = q^{d-1}J + (q^d - q^{d-1})I - E, \quad (9.1)$$

where J is the all-one matrix, I is the identity matrix, and E is the adjacency matrix of the graph B_E , where for any two vertices $U = (a, \mathbf{b})$ and $V = (c, \mathbf{d}) \in V(SB_{q,d}(\lambda))$, (U, V) is an edge in B_E if and only if $a \neq c$ and $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{d}$. Since $SB_{q,d}(\lambda)$ is a q^d -regular graph, q^d is an eigenvalue of A with the all-one eigenvalue $\mathbf{1}$. The graph $SB_{q,d}(\lambda)$ is connected so the eigenvalue q^d has multiplicity one. Besides, choose $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$ such that $B(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}) = 2a \neq 0$, then $SB_{q,d}(\lambda)$ contains the triangle with three vertices $(-a, \mathbf{0})$, $(a, \mathbf{b})$, and $(a, \mathbf{d})$. So the graph is not bipartite. Hence, for any other eigenvalue θ , $|\theta| < q^d$. Let $\mathbf{v}_\theta$ denote the corresponding eigenvector of θ . Note that $\mathbf{v}_\theta \in \mathbf{1}^\perp$, so $J\mathbf{v}_\theta = 0$. It follows from (9.1) that

$$(\theta^2 - q^d + q^{d-1})\mathbf{v}_\theta = E\mathbf{v}_\theta.$$

Hence, $\mathbf{v}_\theta$ is also an eigenvector of E . Since B_E is a regular graph of order $q - 1$, the absolute value of any eigenvalue of E is at most $q - 1$. This implies that

$$\theta^2 < q^d - q^{d-1} + (q - 1) < 2q^d.$$

(Note that, one can get $\theta^2 < q^d$ when $d \geq 2$.) The lemma follows. $\square$

9.1 Proofs of Theorems 2.19, 2.20 and 2.22

Similarly, Theorem 2.19 follows from Lemma 9.1 and Corollary 3.2; Theorem 2.22 follows from Theorem 2.4, Theorem 2.7 and Lemma 9.1. The proof of Theorem 2.20 remains. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $0 \notin \mathcal{A}$. For any $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^*$, let $\mathcal{A}^{-1} = \{1/a : a \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset \mathbb{F}_q$, $\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{A} = k\mathcal{A} \times (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A})^{d-1} \subset \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q^{d-1}$, and $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{A} = (-\mathcal{A}) \times (1/\mathcal{A})^{d-1} \subset \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q^{d-1}$. Let $e(\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{A})$ be the number of edges of $\mathcal{G}_{q,d}$ between $\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{A}$. It follows from Theorem 2.20 and Lemma 9.1 that

$$e(\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{A}) \leq \frac{|\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{A}|}{q} + \sqrt{2q^{d-1}|\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{A}|}. \quad (9.2)$$

There is an edge between any two vertices $(a_1 + \dots + a_d, a_1b_1, \dots, a_{d-1}b_{d-1}) \in \mathcal{E}_\mathcal{A}$ and $(-a_d, b_1^{-1}, \dots, b_{d-1}^{-1}) \in \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{A}$. Hence,

$$e(\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{A}) \geq |\mathcal{A}|^{2d-1}. \quad (9.3)$$

Putting (9.2) and (9.3) together, we have

$$|\mathcal{A}|^{2d-1} \leq \frac{|\mathcal{A}|^d |\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}|^{d-1} |d\mathcal{A}|}{q} + \sqrt{q^d |\mathcal{A}|^d |\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}|^{d-1} |d\mathcal{A}|}.$$

Let $x = |\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}|^{(d-1)/2} |d\mathcal{A}|^{1/2}$, then

$$\frac{|\mathcal{A}|^d}{q} x^2 + q^{d/2} x - |\mathcal{A}|^{2d-1} \geq 0.$$

Solving this inequality gives us the desired bound for x , concluding the proof of Theorem 2.20.

10 Finite Euclidean graphs - Proofs of Theorems 2.24 and 2.25

Let Q be a non-degenerate quadratic form on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the finite Euclidean graph $E_q(d, Q, \lambda)$ is defined as the graph with vertex set $\mathbb{F}_q^d$ and the edge set

$$E = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d \times \mathbb{F}_q^d \mid \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}, Q(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = \lambda\}. \quad (10.1)$$

Recall that an (n, d, λ) -graph is called a Ramanujan graph if $\lambda \leq 2\sqrt{d-1}$. We also call an (n, d, λ) -graph asymptotic Ramanujan graph if $\lambda \leq (2 + o(1))\sqrt{d}$ when $n, d, \lambda \rightarrow \infty$. The spectrum of the finite Euclidean graphs $E_q(d, Q, \lambda)$ when $Q(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2$ was first investigated by Medrano et al. [24], who proved that $E_q(d, Q, \lambda)$ are asymptotically Ramanujan for any $\lambda \neq 0$. Bannai, Shimabukuro and Tanaka [6] extended this result to arbitrary non-degenerate quadratic form Q using the character tables of association schemes of affine type ([21]). The following theorem summarizes the results from [6, Sections 2-6] and [21, Section 3].

Theorem 10.1 ([6, 21]) *Let Q be a non-degenerate quadratic form on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, the graph $E_q(d, Q, \lambda)$ is a $(q^d, (1 + o(1))q^{d-1}, 2q^{(d-1)/2})$ -graph.*

We are now ready to prove Theorems 2.24 and 2.25. We consider the graph G on the vertex set $\mathbb{F}_q^d$. Two distinct vertices $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ are connected by an λ -colored edge if and only if $Q(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = \lambda$ (note that we only use $(q-1)$ colors $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$). From Theorem 10.1, the graph G is a $(q^d, (1 + o(1))q^{d-1}, 2q^{(d-1)/2})$ -colored graph with $(q-1)$ colors. Theorems 2.24 and 2.25 follow immediately from Lemma 6.1 and Theorem 2.7.

11 Finite non-Euclidean graphs - Proof of Theorem 2.26

Let Q be a non-degenerate quadratic form on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$. For each element $\mathbf{x} \in S^d(Q)$, we denote the pair of antipodes on $S^d(Q)$ containing $\mathbf{x}$ by $[\mathbf{x}]$. Let Ω be the set of pairs of antipodes on the unit Q -sphere (or equivalently, the lines through them). For a fixed $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the finite non-Euclidean graph $\mathcal{P}_{q,d}(\gamma)$ has the vertex set Ω and the edge set

$$\{([\mathbf{x}], [\mathbf{y}]) \in \Omega \times \Omega \mid \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}, Q(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = 2 \pm \gamma\}.$$

We will see that our graphs are the same as ones of Bannai, Hao, and Song in [5], and of Bannai, Shimabukuro, and Tanaka in [6].

Note that Ω can also be viewed as the set of all square-type non-isotropic one-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_q^d$ with respect to the quadratic form Q . The simple orthogonal group $O_d(\mathbb{F}_q)$ acts transitively on Ω and yields a symmetric association scheme $\Psi(O_d(\mathbb{F}_q), \Omega)$ of class $(q+1)/2$. We have two cases.

Case I. Suppose that $d = 2m + 1$. The relations of $\Psi(O_{2m+1}(\mathbb{F}_q), \Omega)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \{([\mathbf{x}], [\mathbf{y}]) \in \Omega \times \Omega \mid Q(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = 0\}, \\ R_i &= \{([\mathbf{x}], [\mathbf{y}]) \in \Omega \times \Omega \mid Q(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = 2 + 2\nu^{-(i-1)}\} \quad (2 \leq i \leq (q-1)/2) \\ R_{(q+1)/2} &= \{([\mathbf{x}], [\mathbf{y}]) \in \Omega \times \Omega \mid Q(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = 2\}, \end{aligned}$$

where ν is a generator of the field $\mathbb{F}_q$ (see [5, Section 4]).

Case II. Suppose that $d = 2m$. The relations of $\Psi(O_{2m}(\mathbb{F}_q), \Omega)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_i &= \{([\mathbf{x}], [\mathbf{y}]) \in \Omega \times \Omega \mid Q(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = 2 + 2^{-1}\nu^i\} \quad (1 \leq i \leq (q-1)/2) \\ R_{(q+1)/2} &= \{([\mathbf{x}], [\mathbf{y}]) \in \Omega \times \Omega \mid Q(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = 2\}, \end{aligned}$$

where ν is a generator of the field $\mathbb{F}_q$ (see [5, Section 6] and [6, Section 2]).

The graphs (Ω, R_i) are not Ramanujan in general. They, however, are asymptotic Ramanujan for large q . The following theorem can be derived easily as in the proofs of [6, Theorem 2.2] and [6, Theorem 5.1] from the character tables of the association scheme $\Psi(O_d(\mathbb{F}_q), \Omega)$ ([5, Tables VI, VII] and [5, Theorem 6.3]). Note that [6, Theorem 2.2] requires an additional restriction that $q = p^r$, where the exponent r is odd. This restriction assures that the graphs (Ω, R_i) ($2 \leq i \leq (q+1)/2$) are Ramanujan if q is sufficiently large. Since we only need our graphs to be asymptotic Ramanujan, we can apply [6, Eq. (3)] instead of [6, Lemma 2.1] in the proof of [6, Theorem 2.2] to remove this restriction.

Theorem 11.1 ([5, 6]) *The graphs (Ω, R_i) ($2 \leq i \leq (q-1)/2$) are regular of order $q^{d-1}(1+o(1))/2$ and valency $q^{d-2}(1+o(1))$. Let λ be any eigenvalue of the graph (Ω, R_i) with $\lambda \neq$ valency of the graph, then*

$$|\lambda| \leq (2 + o(1))q^{(d-2)/2}.$$

Let G be a graph with the vertex set Ω , and the edge set is colored by $\{R_i\}_{2 \leq i \leq (q-1)/2}$. Theorem 11.1 implies that G is a $(q^{d-1}(1+o(1))/2, q^{d-2}(1+o(1)), (2+o(1))q^{(d-2)/2})$ -colored graph with $(q-3)/2$ colors. Theorem 2.26 now follows immediately from Theorem 2.7.

References

- [1] N. Alon and M. Krivelevich, Constructive bounds for a Ramsey-type problem, *Graphs and Combinatorics* **13** (1997), 217-225.

- [2] N. Alon and P. Pudlák, Constructive lower bounds for off-diagonal Ramsey numbers, *Israel J. Math.* **122** (2001), 243–251.
- [3] N. Alon, L. Rónyai and T. Szabò, Norm-graphs: variations and applications, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser. B* **76** (1999), 280–290.
- [4] N. Alon and J. H. Spencer, *The probabilistic method*, 2nd ed., Willey-Interscience, 2000.
- [5] E. Bannai, S. Hao and S.-Y. Song, Character tables of the association schemes of finite orthogonal groups acting on the nonisotropic points, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* **54** (1990), 164–200.
- [6] E. Bannai, O. Shimabukuro and H. Tanaka, Finite analogues of non-Euclidean spaces and Ramanujan graphs, *European Journal of Combinatorics* **25** (2004), 243–259.
- [7] J. Bourgain, A Szemerédi type theorem for sets of positive density, *Israel J. Math.* **54** (1986), no. 3, 307–331.
- [8] J. Bourgain, Mordell’s exponential sum estimate revisited, *J. Amer. Math. Soc* **18** (2005), no. 2, 477–499.
- [9] J. Bourgain, N. Katz, and T. Tao, A sum product estimate in finite fields and Applications, *Geom. Funct. Analysis*, **14** (2004), 27–57.
- [10] J. Chapman, M. B. Erdogan, Derrick Hart, Alex Iosevich, and Doowon Koh, Pinned distance sets, k -simplices, Wolff’s exponent in finite fields and sum-product estimates, preprint (2009).
- [11] D. Covert, D. Hart, A. Iosevich and I. Uriarte-Tuero, An analog of the Furstenberg-Katznelson-Weiss theorem on triangles in sets of positive density in finite field geometries, preprint (2008).
- [12] H. Furstenberg, Y. Katznelson, and B. Weiss, *Ergodic theory and configurations in sets of positive density*, Mathematics of Ramsey theory, 184–198, Algorithms Combin., 5, Springer, Berlin (1990).
- [13] M. Z. Garaev, The sum-product estimate for large subsets of prime fields, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (2008), 2735–2739.
- [14] A. A. Glibichuk, Additive properties of product sets in an arbitrary finite fields, preprint.
- [15] A. A. Glibichuk and S. V. Konyagin, Additive properties of product sets in fields of prime order, Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, *CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes*, **43**, 279286 (2007).

- [16] K. Gyarmati and A. Sárközy, Equations in finite fields with restricted solution sets, II (algebraic equations), *Acta Math. Hungar.* **119** (2008), 259–280.
- [17] D. Hart and A. Iosevich, Ubiquity of simplices in subsets of vector spaces over finite fields, *Analysis Mathematica*, **34** (2007).
- [18] A. Iosevich and M. Rudnev, Erdős distance problem in vector spaces over finite fields, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **359** (2007), 6127–6142.
- [19] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [20] M. Krivelevich and B. Sudakov, Pseudo-random graphs, *Conference on Finite and Infinite Sets Budapest*, Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies X, pp. 1–64.
- [21] W.M. Kwok, Character tables of association schemes of affine type, *European J. Combin.* **13** (1992) 167–185.
- [22] A. Magyar, On distance sets of large sets of integers points, *Israel J. Math.* **164** (2008), 251–263.
- [23] A. Magyar, k -point configurations in sets of positive density of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, *Duke Math J.* (to appear) (2007).
- [24] A. Medrano, P. Myers, H. M. Stark and A. Terras, Finite analogues of Euclidean space, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, **68** (1996), 221–238.
- [25] W. G. Schmidt, *Equations over Finite Fields: An Elementary Approach*, Springer LNM **536**, 1976.
- [26] I. Shparlinski, On the solvability of Bilinear Equations in Finite Fields, *Glasg. Math. J.* **50** (2008), 523–529.
- [27] A. Sárközy, On products and shifted products of residues modulo p , *Integers: Electronic Journal of Combinatorial Number Theory*, **8**(2) (2008), A9.
- [28] J. Solymosi, Incidences and the Spectra of Graphs, *Building Bridges between Mathematics and Computer Science*. Vol. **19**. Ed. Martin Groetschel and Gyula Katona. Series: Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies. Springer, 2008. 499 – 513.
- [29] L. A. Vinh, Explicit Ramsey graphs and Erdős distance problem over finite Euclidean and non-Euclidean spaces, *Electronic J. Combin.*, **15** (2008), Article R5.
- [30] L. A. Vinh, A Szemerédi-Trotter type theorem and sum-product estimate over finite fields, *European Journal of Combinatorics* (to appear).
- [31] L. A. Vinh, On the solvability of systems of bilinear equations over finite fields, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc* (to appear).

- [32] L. A. Vinh, Triangles in vector spaces over finite fields, *Online Journal of Analytic Combinatorics* (to appear).
- [33] L. A. Vinh, The Erdős-Falconer distance problem on the unit sphere in vector spaces over finite fields, *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, accepted.
- [34] L. A. Vinh, On kaleidoscopic pseudo-randomness of finite Euclidean graphs, submitted.
- [35] V. H. Vu, Sum-product estimates via directed expanders, *Math. Res. Lett.* **15** (2008), no. 2, 375–388.